

CORRIGENDUM TO “EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO A DIFFUSIVE SHALLOW MEDIUM EQUATION”

VERENA BÖGELEIN, NICOLAS DIETRICH, AND MATIAS VESTBERG

This short note explains how to fill a gap appearing in one of the arguments in our article “Existence of solutions to a diffusive shallow medium equation”, see [1]. The need for a correction stems from the fact that in the proof of Lemma 5.2, one cannot directly use the monotonicity of the vector field \tilde{A}_k to estimate the quantity $\langle \mathcal{A}w_m - \mathcal{A}w, w_m - w \rangle$ since in the monotonicity estimate (5.4), one needs to have the same first argument v in $A_k(v, \xi)$ and $A_k(v, \eta)$. Therefore, to use monotonicity one needs to add and remove a term as we demonstrate below, leading to an extra term appearing in the estimates. We will show that the additional term vanishes in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, thus correcting the proof. We start by observing that the quantity $\langle \mathcal{A}w_m - \mathcal{A}w, w_m - w \rangle$ integrated over the time interval $[0, T]$ can be split into two terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{A}w_m - \mathcal{A}w, w_m - w \rangle dt &= \iint_{\Omega_T} (\tilde{A}_k(w_m, \nabla w_m) - \tilde{A}_k(w, \nabla w)) \cdot (\nabla w_m - \nabla w) dx dt \\ &= \iint_{\Omega_T} (\tilde{A}_k(w_m, \nabla w_m) - \tilde{A}_k(w_m, \nabla w)) \cdot (\nabla w_m - \nabla w) dx dt \\ &\quad + \iint_{\Omega_T} (\tilde{A}_k(w_m, \nabla w) - \tilde{A}_k(w, \nabla w)) \cdot (\nabla w_m - \nabla w) dx dt \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the integral I_1 we can now use the monotonicity property of \tilde{A}_k to conclude that

$$I_1 \geq \begin{cases} c \iint_{\Omega_T} |\nabla w_m - \nabla w|^p dx dt, & p \geq 2 \\ c \iint_{\Omega_T \cap \{\nabla w \neq \nabla w_m\}} W_m^{p-2} |\nabla w_m - \nabla w|^2 dx dt, & p < 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, except for the remainder term I_2 , we have the same estimate as in the original publication. We now show that I_2 vanishes in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ for a suitable subsequence (w_{m_i}) which means that the subsequent arguments made in the proof of Lemma 5.2 are valid. Since (w_m) is a bounded sequence in $L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$, we have using Hölder’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &= \left| \iint_{\Omega_T} [T_k^\alpha(\frac{1}{k} + w_m) - T_k^\alpha(\frac{1}{k} + w)] |\nabla w + \nabla z|^{p-2} (\nabla w + \nabla z) \cdot (\nabla w_m - \nabla w) dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \left[\iint_{\Omega_T} |T_k^\alpha(\frac{1}{k} + w_m) - T_k^\alpha(\frac{1}{k} + w)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |\nabla w + \nabla z|^p dx dt \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\iint_{\Omega_T} |\nabla w_m - \nabla w|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Date: March 10, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35D30, 35K20, 35K65.

Key words and phrases. existence, shallow medium equation, doubly nonlinear parabolic equations.

$$\leq c \left[\iint_{\Omega_T} |T_k^\alpha(\frac{1}{k} + w_m) - T_k^\alpha(\frac{1}{k} + w)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |\nabla w + \nabla z|^p dx dt \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

Since the function $|\nabla w + \nabla z|^p$ is integrable and since T_k^α is bounded and continuous, in order to show that the last expression converges to zero it is sufficient by the dominated convergence theorem to show that (w_m) (or rather a subsequence) converges pointwise a.e. to w . By a standard result in measure theory, it is sufficient to find a subsequence of (w_m) which converges in $L^q(\Omega_T)$ for some exponent $q \geq 1$. We will find such a subsequence using the method of Lemma 3.5 in [2], which is based on a compactness result of Sobolev, see Theorem 1.4.3 in [3]. Examining the theorem, we see that since Ω_T is bounded and since (w_m) is a bounded sequence in $L^2(\Omega_T)$, it only remains to show that

$$(0.1) \quad \lim_{|(y,h)| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \iint_{\Omega_T} |w_m(x+y, t+h) - w_m(x, t)|^q dx dt = 0,$$

for some exponent $q \geq 1$. Here w_m is extended as zero outside Ω_T . In order to do this, we investigate translations in space and time separately. For $0 \leq \tau < \tau + h \leq T$ we have by (5.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w_m(\tau + h) - w_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &= \int_0^h \frac{d}{dt} \|w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^h \langle w'_m(\tau + t), w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau) \rangle dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^h \langle F(\tau + t), w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau) \rangle dt - 2 \int_0^h \langle \mathcal{A}(w_m(\tau + t)), w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau) \rangle dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^h \left[\int_\Omega |f(x, \tau + t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |\nabla z(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^h \left[\int_\Omega |\tilde{A}_k(w_m(\tau + t), \nabla w_m(\tau + t))|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this estimate over time and making use of Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T-h} \|w_m(\tau + h) - w_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^h \int_0^{T-h} \left[\int_\Omega |f(x, \tau + t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |\nabla z(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} d\tau dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^h \int_0^{T-h} \left[\int_\Omega |\tilde{A}_k(w_m(\tau + t), \nabla w_m(\tau + t))|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m(\tau + t) - w_m(\tau)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} d\tau dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^h \left[\int_0^{T-h} \int_\Omega |f(x, \tau + t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |\nabla z(x)|^p dx d\tau \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\int_0^T \|w_m(\tau)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p d\tau \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \\ &\quad + c \int_0^h \left[\int_0^{T-h} \int_\Omega |\tilde{A}_k(w_m(\tau + t), \nabla w_m(\tau + t))|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx d\tau \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\int_0^T \|w_m(\tau)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p d\tau \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \\ &\leq ch \left[\iint_{\Omega_T} |f|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |\nabla z|^p dx d\tau \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + ch \left[\iint_{\Omega_T} |\tilde{A}_k(w_m, \nabla w_m)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx d\tau \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of \tilde{A}_k we can estimate the last expression upwards to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T-h} \|w_m(\tau+h) - w_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau &\leq ch \left[\iint_{\Omega_T} |f|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |\nabla z|^p dx d\tau \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + ch \left[\iint_{\Omega_T} |\nabla w_m|^p + |\nabla z|^p dx d\tau \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|w_m\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the boundedness of the sequence (w_m) in $L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ as well as the integrability properties of f and ∇z we end up with

$$\int_0^{T-h} \|w_m(\tau+h) - w_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq Ch.$$

Recalling that w_m is extended as zero outside of Ω_T and that $\|w_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is bounded uniformly in t , we also obtain

$$(0.2) \quad \iint_{\Omega_T} |w_m(x, t+h) - w_m(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq Ch,$$

which is the desired estimate for the translation in time.

For translations in space, first note that we may choose the basis functions v_j in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ for convenience. Then also w_m will be smooth in the x -variable and w_m will vanish outside Ω . Consider first only a translation in the j th spatial coordinate direction. Let $x \in \Omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (0.3) \quad |w_m(x + he_j, t) - w_m(x, t)| &\leq \int_0^h |\partial_j w_m(x + se_j, t)| ds \\ &\leq h^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\int_0^h |\partial_j w_m(x + se_j, t)|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq h^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\int_{\{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid x + se_j \in \Omega\}} |\partial_j w_m(x + se_j, t)|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over x and t and utilizing the fact that Ω is bounded, we thus have

$$(0.4) \quad \iint_{\Omega_T} |w_m(x + he_j, t) - w_m(x, t)|^p dx dt \leq Ch^{p-1} \iint_{\Omega_T} |\partial_j w_m|^p dx dt \leq Ch^{p-1},$$

where the last estimate follows from the fact that (w_m) is bounded in $L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$. Combining (0.4) and (0.2) we can verify (0.1) for $q = \min\{2, p\}$, which completes the argument.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bögelein, N. Dietrich and M. Vestberg: Existence of solutions to a diffusive shallow medium equation, *Journal of Evolution Equations*, Vol. 21, 845–889, 2020.
- [2] G. I. Laptev: Weak solutions of second-order quasilinear parabolic equations with double non-linearity, *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 188:9, 1343–1370, 1997. [2](#)
- [3] S.L. Sobolev: *Some Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics*, Third Edition, American Mathematical Society, 1991. [2](#)

VERENA BÖGELEIN, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT SALZBURG, HELLBRUNNER STR. 34, 5020 SALZBURG, AUSTRIA

Email address: `verena.boegelein@sbg.ac.at`

NICOLAS DIETRICH, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT SALZBURG, HELLBRUNNER STR. 34, 5020 SALZBURG, AUSTRIA

Email address: `nicolas.dietrich@stud.sbg.ac.at`

MATIAS VESTBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 480, 751 06, UPPSALA, SWEDEN

Email address: `matias.vestberg@math.uu.se`